

Home of the Trusted Professional

3 park avenue, at 34th street, new york, ny 10016-5991 212.719.8300 • fax 212.719.3364 www.nysscpa.org

June 20, 2005

Mr. Michael Glynn Technical Manager Audit and Attest Standards AICPA 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

By email: mglynn@aicpa.org

Re: Exposure Draft of Proposed Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services – Omnibus Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services -- 2005

Dear Mr. Glynn:

The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants, the oldest state accounting association, represents approximately 30,000 CPAs that will implement the provisions proposed in the captioned exposure draft. NYSSCPA thanks AICPA for the opportunity to comment on its exposure draft.

The NYSSCPA Accounting and Review Services Committee deliberated the exposure draft and prepared the attached comments. If you would like additional discussion with the committee, please contact Joseph A. Maffia, chair of the committee, at (212) 980-2470, or Robert Colson, NYSSCPA staff, at (212) 719-8350.

Sincerely,

Stephen F. Langowski President

Attachment

### NYSSCPA 2005- 2006 Board of Directors

Stephen F. Langowski, William Aiken Raymond P. Jones President Deborah L. Bailey-Browne Don A. Kiamie Nancy A. Kirby Thomas P. Casey Thomas E. Riley, Ann B. Cohen John J. Lauchert President-elect Raymond M. Nowicki, Michelle A. Cohen Howard B. Lorch Secretary Debbie A. Cutler Beatrix G. McKane Neville Grusd. Anthony G. Duffy David J. Moynihan Robert L. Ecker Ian M. Nelson Treasurer Victor S. Rich, Mark Ellis Richard E. Piluso Vice President David Evangelista Robert T. Quarte Susan R. Schoenfeld, Joseph M. Falbo, Jr. C. Daniel Stubbs, Jr. Dr. Myrna L. Fischman Anthony J. Tanzi Vice President Stephen P. Valenti, Daniel M. Fordham Edward J. Torres Vice President Phillip E. Goldstein Robert N. Waxman Louis Grumet, ex officio Raymond P. Jones Philip G. Westcott John J. Kearney Ellen L. Williams Richard Zerah

### NYSSCPA 2005 - 2006 Accounting and Review Services Committee

Alan Director Walter Orenstein Joseph A. Maffia, Chair Michael J. Aroyo Audrey J. Dussard John Sacco Julie Galaska Harvey G. Beringer Kenneth Smith Roseanne G. Bowen Stacy M. Glasser Ira M. Talbi Joseph G. Boyce Jay Goldberg George Weiss Khalil Issa John J. Buchheit Lawrence A. Wolff Bridget Burnell Zev Landau

Melvyn M. Ockner

Daniel J. Cannon

### NYSSCPA 2005 - 2006 Accounting & Auditing Oversight Committee

Paul D. Warner, Chair Joseph A. Maffia Warren Ruppel George I. Victor, Vice Chair Robert S. Manzella Ira M. Talbi Elliot L. Hendler Mitchell J. Mertz Elizabeth K. Venuti Joel Lanz Mark Mycio Paul J. Wendell Michele M. Levine Eric J. Rogers Margaret A. Wood Thomas O. Linder

### **NYSSCPA Staff**

Robert H. Colson



### Home of the Trusted Professional

3 park avenue, at 34th street, new york, ny 10016-5991 212.719.8300 • fax 212.719.3364 www.nysscpa.org

### The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants

## **Comments on Exposure Draft:**

Proposed Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (SSARS), Omnibus Statement on Standards for Accounting and Review Services – 2005.

June 20, 2005

### **Principal Drafters**

Ira M. Talbi Joseph A. Maffia Michael J. Aroyo

# THE NEW YORK STATE SOCIETY OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ACCOUNTING AND REVIEW SERVICES COMMITTEE'S COMMENTS ON

### PROPOSED STATEMENT ON STANDARDS FOR ACCOUNTING AND REVIEW SERVICES: OMNIBUS – 2005

June 20, 2005

### **General Comments**

The Committee appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed amendments to SSARS 1 and SSARS 2. Most of the proposed changes conform SSARS to existing auditing literature. Except as noted in the specific comments, the proposed changes improve guidance for accounting and review engagements.

### **Specific Comments**

### **Comment 1. Page 5 - Third bullet point:**

The SSARS committee should consider replacing "updating" with "updated." The past tense flows better, and it implies a completed action rather than an action in process.

### Comment 2. Page 6 – Paragraph 1.05 "Indications of Fraud and illegal acts":

The proposed new language provides a clear requirement when to report fraud but fails to adequately define "indications of fraud" and "indications of illegal acts." Not only will these terms be interpreted subjectively, but also to fulfill the requirements of the proposed statement will require information gained outside of a SSARS engagement to determine whether such indications exists, such as a study of internal control, an assessment of control, inherent, and fraud risks, or a forensic study. It would be preferable for the standard to require simply the report of indications of fraud and indications of illegal acts that come to the accountant's attention during the performance of the professional engagement rather than to require judgments about the significance of the indications of fraud risk factors or illegal acts.

### Comment 3. Page 7 – Paragraph 1.07 "Modifications in Conformity with GAAP":

The proposed changes imply that additional inquiries and analytical procedures must be undertaken only when an accountant has found indications of fraud or illegal acts in compiling GAAP financial statements. Because OCBOA financial statements are frequently compiled, we suggest wording as follows: "...the review procedures performed, there are no material modifications that should be made to the statements in order for them to be in conformity with GAAP or OCBOA."

### Comment 4. Page 7, Paragraph 1.08b. Successor accountant:

The proposed changes suggest that the predecessor accountant can communicate knowledge of fraud or an illegal act to the successor accountant without the client's permission.

We suggest the following amendment to the proposed wording:

"b. To a successor accountant when the successor decides to communicate with the predecessor accountant and when the predecessor is permitted in accordance with SSARS No. 4......"

### Comment 5. Page 10, Paragraph 5.34 "Updating Representation Letter":

See the first specific comment regarding "updated" rather than "updating" representation letters.

The proposed changes raise the requirements for representation letters in review procedures to a level higher than the current audit literature, which does not require updating a stale representation letter. Although consistency with the audit literature is preferable, if an updated representation letter is required then a more specific time period would be preferable, such as six months, to a "significant period of time," which is too open-ended.